



2682

<b>TRANSMITTAL LETTER</b>	Atty Docket No.: 3593/7	Total Pages
---------------------------	-------------------------	-------------

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RECEIVED**

SEP 09 2003

Technology Center 2600

Re: Applicant(s) : Sang Hoon Oh et al.  
Serial No. : 09/871,570  
Filed : May 31, 2001  
Title : COMPACT HANDS-FREE ADAPTER FOR USE WITH  
A CELLULAR TELEPHONE

Kindly file the annexed papers indicated below:  
☒ Response to Office Action (\_\_\_\_\_ sheets)

The fee has been calculated as follows:

	Claims Remaining after Amendment	Claims Paid for	Extra	Rate (Sm. Ent.)	Fee	Rate	Fee
Total		- =	0	x \$9	\$	x \$18	\$
Indep.		- =	0	x \$42	\$	x \$84	\$
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM ADDED				+ \$140	\$	+ \$280	\$
TOTAL					\$0.00		\$

☐ A check in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is enclosed.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit any overpayment to the undersigned attorney's Deposit Account No. 02-4270.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 9/2/03

By: James J. Woods  
James J. Woods, Reg. No. 47,184  
BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN  
FELDER & STEINER LLP  
900 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 895-2000

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class mail addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
James J. Woods 9/2/03  
James J. Woods, Reg. No. 47,184 Date



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 3593/7

#13  
TLR  
9/16/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Sang Hoon Oh et al.

Serial No. : 09/871,570

Examiner: Marceau Milford

Filed : May 31, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2682

Title : COMPACT HANDS-FREE ADAPTER FOR USE WITH A  
CELLULAR TELEPHONE

**RECEIVED**

SEP 09 2003

Technology Center 2600

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 30, 2003:

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated May 30, 2003, the Examiner rejected claims 1-35 as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,588,041 to Meyer, Jr. et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,646,990 to Li and U.S. Patent No. 5,646,990 to Takahashi et al. The rejection is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested in view of the following.

In the previous Office Action, claims 1-35 were rejected as being obvious over Meyer, Jr. et al. in view of Li. Following applicants response, in the present Office Action, the applicants arguments are indicated as moot in view of new grounds of rejection. The new grounds of rejection add Takahashi to the combination of references cited in the obviousness rejection of the claims.